



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/764,565	01/17/2001	Mari Horiguchi	450100-02944	6885	
· 20999	7590 04/06/2004		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			NGUYEN, BRIAN D		
•	AVENUE- 10TH FL. C. NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11211 1011	-,		2661		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	₁ -6	
	•				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
ų.		9/764,565	HORIGUCHI ET AL.			
Office Action Sum	mary E	xaminer	Art Unit			
	В	rian D Nguyen	2661			
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF Status	PERIOD FOR REPLY IS COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) e of this communication. s than thirty (30) days, a reply will a maximum statutory period will a eriod for reply will, by statute, cau hree months after the mailing date.	S SET TO EXPIRE 3 M one of the statutory minimum of thir pply and will expire SIX (6) MON se the application to become AB	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commoderate the commoderate of the commoderate o			
1)⊠ Responsive to communica	ition(s) filed on <u>the appl</u>	ication filed 1/17/01.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ★ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with	the practice under Ex p	oarte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allow 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are obj 8) □ Claim(s) are subject	is/are withdrawn to wed. d. ected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objecte	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17</u>	-	• • • •	-			
Applicant may not request the			ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	4 404/4\		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is o		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the3. Copies of the certified	lone of: ne priority documents ha ne priority documents ha ed copies of the priority International Bureau (P	ave been received. ave been received in A documents have been CT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	age		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. S. Patent and Trademark Office		Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)		
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper No./N	/ail Date 6		

Application/Control Number: 09/764,565

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-4 and 7are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 9, "said device" is unclear which one of the output device and the different device" in line 4 the applicant is referring to.

Claim 3, line 3, "a kind of the plug" is unclear. Please clarify what kind the applicant is referring to.

Claim 7, line 6, "the pertinent kind" is unclear. Please clarify.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2 and 5-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (APA) (page 2, lines 20-24 of the specification) in view of Kwon et al (6,667,987).

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claims 1-2, the APA discloses in which an output device can sent an order for setting so that output data of the output device may be inputted to a data input section of the input device, the input device conducts input setting based on the order (see page 2, lines 20-24 of the specification setting a communication between an input device and an output device). The APA does not disclose the output device can sent a request for cancellation of the input setting. However, Kwon discloses a method and a system in which a setting requested by a output device can be cancelled (see col. 3, lines 19-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cancel the setting as taught by Kwon in the system of the APA in order to control the transmission between output devices and input device.

Regarding claims 5-6, claims 5-6 are apparatus claims that have substantially all the limitations of the respective method claims 1-2. Therefore, they are subject to the same rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 7-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 5. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 3-4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian D Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-5133. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian Nguyen

4/4/04